

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/680,667	10/07/2003	Yrjo Hartikka	224674	5422
23460	7590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
	IT & MAYER, LTD NTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4	1900	VO, TUYET THI	
	TETSON AVENUE	*700	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II	L 60601-6780		2821	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·				MX
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	,
Office Action Summary		10/680,667	HARTIKKA, YRJO	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Tuyet Vo	2821	· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
The MAILIN Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	i
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply sp - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. secified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re specified above, the maximum statutory period the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- ne Office later than three months after the mailing structure. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a apply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status				
2a) ☐ This action is 3) ☐ Since this ap	to communication(s) filed on <u>10/</u> s FINAL. 2b)⊠ The polication is in condition for allowed cordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	•	ts is
Disposition of Claims	•			
4a) Of the ab 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-9 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s) Application Papers 9) ☐ The specifica 10) ☐ The drawing(awn from consideration. or election requirement. her. herecepted or b) □ objected to		
•	drawing sheet(s) including the corre		, ,	21(d).
11) The oath or o	leclaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119			
a)⊠ All b)⊡ 3 1.⊠ Certific 2.⊡ Certific 3.□ Copies applica	nent is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: ed copies of the priority document of the copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	;
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Attachment(s) Notice of References	Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftspersor	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/680,667

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakazawa et al (US Pat. 5,583,751).

Figure 9 of Nakazawa clearly shows a light arrangement with a power supply (or source) connected at least two lighting elements (50c, 50f, 50h, 50l 50m, 50 p, 50n and 50o) while integrally attached to at least two corresponding switching elements or relays ((50d, 50e, 50g and 50j).

3. Claims 1-4, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weiner et al (US Pat. 4,215,277).

Figure 4 in Weiner shows a lighting arrangement that could be connected to the common house-hold power supply or source (not shown); wherein the arrangement clearly includes at least two light elements or sources (L1-L4) and at least two corresponding switches (Q1-Q4) that inherently function with their voltage supply to ultimately control the output of light elements.

4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fehd et al. (US Pub. 2002/0033680).

Art Unit: 2821

Figures 1a-1b and its corresponding text clearly anticipate the claimed invention. As it can be seen in figure 1a, loads A-K are responsive to the switching network DMX that is in turned being manipulated by voltage frequency control (160) or voltage supply. The fact that loads A-K are sequentially controlled by switching network DMX as a response to the voltage supply (160) forces the power source to sequentially drive the loads in responding to the switching network. Note, the switching network inherently includes number switching elements to perform their assigned tasks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiner et al. (US Pat. 4,215,277).

Thus far, Weiner does not specify the use of particular light product as their light source such as described in claims 5-7. However, including such commercially available product as light source would have been much convenient as it simplifies the process of implementing Weiner's circuit.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuyet Vo whose telephone number is 571 272 1830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571 272 1834. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2821

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9306 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

Tuyet Vo

Primary Examiner

September 30, 2004